

Using Cost Benefit Analysis for Capital Equipment Decisions

J. Myrick¹ and R. Yearout²

¹Laser Precision Cutting, Inc.
181 Reems Creek Rd.
Weaverville, NC 28787, USA

²Department of Management & Accountancy
University of North Carolina at Asheville
Asheville, NC 28804, USA

Corresponding author's Email: jerushamyrick@yahoo.com

Author Note: Jerusha Myrick, General Manager of Laser Precision Cutting, Inc. in Weaverville, NC, is a 2009 UNC-Asheville graduate with a B.S. degree in Industrial Engineering Management. Mrs. Myrick, a senior member of ASQ, is an ASQ Certified Manager of Quality/Organizational Excellence, an ASQ Certified Quality Auditor and an ASQ Certified Six Sigma Green Belt. Robert Yearout, Professor of Industrial Engineering Management, University of North Carolina at Asheville (UNCA), B.S.C.E., Virginia Military Institute, M.S.S.M., University of Southern California, M.S., Ph.D., Kansas State University. LTC (US Army Special Forces, Retired). Dr. Yearout's awards include the Ruth and Leon Feldman Professorship for Outstanding Research, Distinguished Teaching Award, and UNCA Distinguished Teacher of the Year, University Service Council Award, and Board of Governors of the University of North Carolina Award for Excellence in Teaching, and Annual University Research Council Award for Scholarly and Creative Achievement. Dr. Yearout has published a significant number of articles in national and international peer reviewed journals such as *IEEE Transactions on RELIABILITY*, *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, and the *International Journal For Industrial Engineering Theory, Application, and Practice* in subject areas directly related to his teaching expertise which includes Quality control and Production and Operations Management. He is the editor for *National Conferences on Undergraduate Research (NCUR) Proceedings* and a member of the Editorial Board for the *International Journal For Industrial Engineering Theory, Practice, and Application*.

Abstract: Engineers may be faced with marginal equipment productivity. Since 2005, a small manufacturing facility in Western North Carolina has struggled with a water jet cutting machine that adversely impacted profitability. The options are to continue with maintaining the current water jet cutter, purchase a different type and brand of water jet cutter or purchase a cutting machine with advanced technology. To determine whether or not the water jet was a benefit to the organization, data was gathered and extrapolated from January 2014 to June 2015. The analysis compared the dollar amount paid to the vendor for repair parts, service technicians and consumables to the dollar amount of receivables generated by the water jet cutter and outsourced water jet cutting. Based on the 1.3000 breakeven point, the recommendation was to discontinue water jet services and to investigate evolving cutting technologies. Thus cutting their losses and retaining their competitive advantage.

Keywords: Cost, Benefit, Ratio Analysis